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Focus
General operating support
Four areas:
- BIPOC
- Rural
- Low-income
- Disability
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What does OAC’s work look like?
What can the OAC do to become more equitable?
How are constituents impacted by the OAC?
FINDINGS: STRENGTHS

- Strong commitment to general operating support
- Communicative, supportive, and responsive staff
- Explicit commitments and intentions with regards to DEI
- Strong support to continue prioritizing DEI
FINDINGS: INTERVIEWS

- Inviting feedback from constituents was well received
- Grant award sizes are perceived as small
- Process is perceived to privilege larger and more experienced organizations
- General awareness of OAC is lacking
FINDINGS: SURVEY

- Uncertainty about eligibility criteria
- Feedback on what changes would encourage a GOS application
- Constituent commitment to DEI
FINDINGS: GRANTS DATA

- GOS dollars are concentrated
- Rural organizations are receiving a substantial percentage of GOS grant awards but their share of total grant dollars is small
- Strong diversity of staff among current GOS organizations
RECOMMENDATIONS

- Define directions
- Policy, guidelines, and the application process
- Communications, outreach, and assistance
- Data collection and use
- Overall agency shifts
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