Culturally Responsive Evaluation

References for Consideration

National Assembly of State Arts Agencies (NASAA)

Annual Conference 2017 Professional Development Institute

September 14, 2017

Andranovich, G., & Riposa, G. (1993). *Doing urban research*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Bledsoe, K. L., & Donaldson, S. I. (2015). Culturally responsive Theory-driven Evaluation. In S. Hood, R. Hopson, K. Obeidat, and H. Frierson (Eds). *Continuing the Journey to Reposition Culture and Cultural Context in Evaluation Theory and Practice.* Chicago, IL; Information Age Publishing.

Bledsoe, K. L. (2014). Truth, beauty, and justice: Conceptualizing House's framework for evaluation in community-based settings. In J. Griffith and B. Montrosse (Eds). *Validity and Evaluation Argument, New Directions for Evaluation* (pp. 71-82). San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.

Bledsoe, K. L., & Hopson, R. H. (2009). Conducting ethical research in underserved communities. In D. M. Mertens and P. Ginsberg (Eds.), *Handbook of ethics for research in the social sciences*. (pp. 391-406). Thousand Oakes, CA: Sage Publications.

Bledsoe, K. L. (2009). Evaluation of the Fun with Books Program: An interview with Katrina Bledsoe. In J. Fitzpatrick T. Christie, and M. Mark (Eds.), *Evaluation in action: Interviews with the experts.* (pp. 299-323). Thousand Oakes, CA: Sage Publications.

Botcheva, L. Shih, J., & Huffman, L. C. (2009). Emphasizing culture in evaluation: A process Oriented approach. *American Journal of Evaluation, 30*, 176–188.

Cram, F. (2009). Maintaining indigenous voices. In D. M. Mertens & P. I. Ginsberg (Eds.). *Handbook of social research ethics* (pp. 308-322). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Frierson, H. T., Hood, S., & Hughes, G. B. (2002). Strategies that address culturally-responsive evaluation. In Frechtling, J., *The 2002 user-friendly handbook for project evaluation*. National Science Foundation.

Greene, Jennifer (2011). The construct(ion) of validity as argument. In H.T. Chen, S.I. Donaldson, & M.M. Mark (eds.), Advancing validity in outcome evaluation: Theory and practice. New Directions for Evaluation 130, (pp. 81-. Wiley: San Francisco.

Frierson, H. T., Hood, S., & Hughes, G. B. (2010). *A guide to conducting culturally-responsive evaluations.* In J. Frechtling, (Ed.), The 2010 user-friendly handbook for project evaluation (pp. 75-96). National Science Foundation.

Hopson, R. (2009). Reclaiming knowledge at the margins: Culturally responsive evaluation in the current evaluation moment. In Ryan, K. & Cousins, B. (Eds.). *International Handbook on Evaluation. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.*

Hood, S., Hopson, R.H., & Kirhart, K. (2015). Culturally responsive evaluation. In K. Newcomer, H. Hatry, & J. Wholey (Ed.), *Handbook of Practical Evaluation* Hoboken, NJ, USA, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Hood, S., Hopson, R. H., & Frierson H. T. (2005, Eds.) *The role f culture and cultural context: A mandate for inclusion, the discovery of truth and understanding in evaluative theory and practice.* Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing.

Hopson, R. K. (2003). Overview of multicultural and culturally competent program evaluation: Issues, challenges and opportunities. Woodland Hills, CA: The California Endowment.Hopson, R.K., Ed. (2000). How and Why Language Matters in Evaluation. *New Directions for Evaluation, No. 86. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.*

House, E. (2017). Evaluation and the framing of race. *American Journal of Evaluation*, *38,* 167-189.

Kelley, T., & Kelley, D. (2013). Creative confidence: Unleashing the creative potential within us all. New York, New York: Crown Business.

Kirkhart, K. E. (2013). Advancing considerations of culture and validity: Honoring the Key Evaluation Checklist. In S. I. Donaldson (ed.), *The future of evaluation in society: A tribute to Michael Scriven* (pp. 129-160). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing, Inc.

Kirkhart, K. E. (2005). Through a cultural lens: Reflection on validity and theory in evaluation. In S. Hood, R.H. Hopson, and H.T. Frierson (eds.), *The role of culture and cultural context: A mandate for inclusion, the discovery of truth and understanding in evaluative theory and practice. Evaluation and Society Series* (pp. 175-196). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, Inc.

LaFrance, J. & Crazy Bull, C. (2009). Researching ourselves back to life: Taking control of the research agenda in Indian Country. In D. M. Mertens and P. Ginsberg (Eds.), *Handbook of ethics for research in the social sciences*. (pp. 135-149). Thousand Oakes, CA: Sage Publications

Johnson, A. G. (2005). *Privilege power and difference*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill Publishers.

Kirkhart, K. E. (2013). Advancing considerations of culture and validity: Honoring the Key Evaluation Checklist. In S. I. Donaldson (ed.), *The future of evaluation in society: A tribute to Michael Scriven* (pp. 129-160). Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing, Inc.

Kirkhart, K. E. (2005). Through a cultural lens: Reflection on validity and theory in evaluation. In S. Hood, R.H. Hopson, and H.T. Frierson (eds.), *The role of culture and cultural context: A mandate for inclusion, the discovery of truth and understanding in evaluative theory and practice. Evaluation and Society Series* (pp. 175-196). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, Inc.

LaFrance, J. & Crazy Bull, C. (2009). Researching ourselves back to life: Taking control of the research agenda in Indian Country. In D. M. Mertens and P. Ginsberg (Eds.), *Handbook of ethics for research in the social sciences*. (pp. 135-149). Thousand Oakes, CA: Sage Publications

Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. *Psychological Review, 98*, 224-253

Matsumoto, D., & Juang, L. (2009). *Culture and psychology* (4th edition). Belmont, CA: Wadsworthv

Mertens, D. M., & Wilson, A. (2012). Program evaluation theory and practice: A comprehensive guide. New York, NY: Guilford Press

Mertens, D. M. (2011). *Transformative research and evaluation.* New York, NY: Guilford Press

Mertens, D. M. (2007). Transformative considerations:. Inclusion and social justice, American *Journal of Evaluation, 28*, 86-90.

National Institutes of Health (2011). Principles of community engagement, second edition. United States of America: NIH Publication No. 11-7782. Retrieved from http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/communityengagement/pdf/PCE_Report_508_FINAL.pdf

Pon, G. (2009). Cultural competency as new racism: An ontology of forgetting. *Journal of Progressive Human Services, 20,* 59-71.

Rog, D. J., Fitzpatrick, J. L, & Conner, R. F. (2012; Eds.). Context: A framework for its influence on evaluation practice. *New Directions for Evaluation*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass and the American Evaluation Association

SenGupta, S., Hopson, R., & Thompson-Robinson, M. (2004). Cultural competence in evaluation: An overview. In M. Thompson-Robinson, R. Hopson & S. SenGupta (Eds.), (pp.5-19). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Symonette, H. (2015). Culturally Responsive Evaluation as a Resource for Helpful-Help. In S. Hood, R. Hopson, K. Obeidat, and H. Frierson (Eds). *Continuing the Journey to Reposition Culture and Cultural Context in Evaluation Theory and Practice.* Chicago, IL; Information Age Publishing.